

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

TruePosition, Inc.,)
Plaintiff/)
Counterclaim-Defendant,)
v.)
Andrew Corporation,)
Defendant/)
Counterclaim-Plaintiff.)

Civil Action No. 05-00747(SLR)

VERDICT FORM

Dated: September 14, 2007

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

I. INFRINGEMENT

1. Has TruePosition proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Andrew has directly infringed any of the following claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,327,144 ('144 patent)?

"Yes" is a finding for TruePosition. "No" is a finding for Andrew.

(A) Claim 1 Yes X No _____
(B) Claim 31 Yes X No _____

2. Has TruePosition proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Andrew has induced infringement of the following claims of the '144 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for TruePosition. "No" is a finding for Andrew.

(A) Claim 1 Yes X No _____
(B) Claim 22 Yes X No _____
(C) Claim 31 Yes X No _____

3. Has TruePosition proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Andrew has contributed to the infringement of the following claims of the '144 patent?

"Yes" is a finding for TruePosition. "No" is a finding for Andrew.

(A) Claim 1 Yes X No _____
(B) Claim 22 Yes X No _____
(C) Claim 31 Yes X No _____

4. If you found that Andrew infringed any claim of the '144 patent, has TruePosition proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Andrew's infringement was willful?

"Yes" is a finding for TruePosition. "No" is a finding for Andrew.

Yes X No _____

II. DAMAGES

Answer the following question only if you find any of the '144 patent claims infringed.

5. What is the total amount of damages that should be awarded to TruePosition?

\$ 45,307,000.00

III. DEFENSES

Answer the following questions only if you find any of the '144 patent claims infringed.

FRAUD

6. Has Andrew proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that TruePosition defrauded Andrew?

"Yes" is a finding for Andrew. "No" is a finding for TruePosition.

Yes No

PROMISSORY ESTOPPEL

7. Has Andrew proven, by clear and convincing evidence, each and every one of the following elements of promissory estoppel?

a. TruePosition made a promise.

"Yes" is a finding for Andrew. "No" is a finding for TruePosition.

Yes No

b. TruePosition intended to induce Andrew's action or inaction based on the promise.

"Yes" is a finding for Andrew. "No" is a finding for TruePosition.

Yes No

c. Andrew reasonably relied on the promise.

"Yes" is a finding for Andrew. "No" is a finding for TruePosition.

Yes No

d. Andrew was injured by its reliance.

"Yes" is a finding for Andrew. "No" is a finding for TruePosition.

Yes No